arXiv:gr-qc/0508080v1 19 Aug 2005

Exact solutions of SO(3) non-linear sigma model in a conic space background

V. B. Bezerra and C. Romero

Departamento de Física, Universidade Federal da Paraíba, C.Postal 5008, João Pessoa, PB, 58059-970, Brazil

Sergey Chervon

Department of Theoretical and Mathematical Physics, Ulyanovsk State University, 42, Leo Tolstoy str., 432970, Ulyanovsk, Russia

Abstract

We consider a nonlinear sigma model coupled to the metric of a conic space. We obtain restrictions for a non-linear sigma model to be a source of the conic space. We then study non-linear sigma model in the conic space background. We find coordinate transformations which reduce the chiral fields equations in the conic space background to field equations in Minkowski spacetime. This enables us to apply the same methods for obtaining exact solutions in Minkowski spacetime to the case of a conic spacetime. In the case the solutions depend on two spatial coordinates we employ Ivanov's geometrical ansatz. We give a general analysis and also present classes of solutions in which there is dependence on three and four coordinates. We discuss with special attention the intermediate instanton and meron solutions and their analogous in the conic space. We find differences in the total actions and topological charges of these solutions and discuss the role of the deficit angle.

PACS-94: 04.20.-q 98.80.-Dr
Key words: cosmic string, non-linear sigma model, deficit angle, exact solutions

1 Introduction

In the late seventies and beginning of eighties much attention was devoted to non-linear sigma model formulated in two-dimensional Euclidian and pseudo-Euclidian spaces[1, 2, 3, 4, 5]. The reason for that lies on the strong analogy with four-dimensional gauge theories (instanton solutions, asymptotic freedom, and so on (see, e.g reviews [6, 7, 8])). In most cases non-linear sigma models have been considered as a laboratory or a toy models with the following applications of the developed methods and solutions to the investigation of gauge theories. When we consider non-linear sigma model in spaces with more than two dimensions the analogy with gauge theories fails. The fact that in four-dimensional case there exist no topologically nontrivial solutions led to various attempts to extend the model to four dimensions via the introduction of additional interactions with a gauge or a gravitational field [9, 10].

When non-linear sigma model coupled to gravitation were considered a new method of obtaining exact solutions for a model of this type was developed [11]. The method is based on a isometric ansatz, which preserves the isometric motion in spacetime and in the target space. In this way new exact solutions in gravitational theory have been obtained [11][12]. This method was then applied to obtaining exact solutions in the case of a four-dimensional (4D) Minkowski spacetime, as a connection between an isometric subgroup and a subgroup of target space was postulated. Moreover when applied to two-dimensional (2D) non-linear sigma model the method gives a wide class of exact solutions [13], in which instanton and meron solutions arises as a special case of the subgroup of rotations.

Conic space, on the other hand, has first appeared in the context of a cosmic string model[14]. It can be characterized by a space-time metric with a Riemann-Christoffel curvature tensor which vanishes everywhere, except in one point,where there is a conical singularity. The local flatness of this kind of space-time can induce several interesting effects. As examples of these effects we can mention gravitational lensing[15], eletrostatic self-force on an electric charge at rest[16] and the so-called gravitational Aharonov-Bohm effect[17] among others.

In this paper we investigate the non-linear sigma model in the a space-time with conic-type singularity, namely, the space-time of a cosmic string, and show how the obtained results differ from those obtained in flat Minkowski spacetime.

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we introduce the non-linear sigma model in a conical geometry. In section 3, we consider the dynamic equations and discuss the symmetries and general properties of their solutions and introduce the Ivanov's geometrical ansatz. In section 4, we obtain the two-dimensional solutions. In section 5, we discuss the four-dimensional solutions. Finally, in section 6, we draw some conclusions.

2 Massive nonlinear sigma model coupled to the metric of the conic space

It is well known that the spacetime sourced by a straight static (cosmic) string, is a conical space with metric given by [14]

$$ds^2 = dt^2 - dz^2 - d\rho^2 - (1-4G\mu)^2\rho^2 d\phi^2 = \varrho_{ik}dx^i dx^k \tag{1}$$

which possesses a conical singularity characterized by a deficit angle $\delta = 8\pi G\mu$. (We sometimes will use the notation $(1-4G\mu)^2 = \alpha^2$).

In this section we are going to consider a massive non-linear sigma model [18], that is, a non-linear sigma model with a potential of self-interaction, coupled to the metric of the conic space (1). As a target space we choose a two-dimensional sphere S^2 admitting SO(3)-symmetry, the metric of which is given by

$$ds^2 = d\chi^2 + \sin^2\chi d\Theta^2 = h_{AB}\varphi^A\varphi^B, \quad 0 < \chi < \pi, \quad 0 \leq \Theta < 2\pi. \tag{2}$$

The dynamic equations of the chiral fields can be obtained form the variation of the action of non-linear sigma model with the potential of self-interaction $W(\varphi^C)$

$$S_{NSM}=\int\sqrt{-g}d^4x\left(\frac{1}{2}\,h_{AB}\varphi^A_{,i}\varphi^B_{,k}\varrho^{ik}-W(\varphi^C)\right), \tag{3}$$

with respect to the chiral fields φ^C and are given by

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{-\varrho}}\partial_\mu\left(\sqrt{-\varrho}\varrho^{\mu\nu}h_{AB}\varphi^B_{,\nu}\right)-\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial h_{BC}}{\partial\varphi^A}\varphi^B_{,\mu}\varphi^C_{,\nu}\varrho^{\mu\nu}+\frac{\partial W}{\partial\varphi^A}=0. \tag{4}$$

On the other hand, the energy-momentum tensor of a massive non-linear sigma model can be calculated from the formula

$$T_{\mu\nu}=h_{AB}\varphi^A_{,\mu}\varphi^B_{,\nu}-\varrho_{\mu\nu}\left(\tfrac{1}{2}h_{AB}\varphi^A_{,\gamma}\varphi^B_{,\delta}\varrho^{\gamma\delta}-W(\varphi^C)\right). \tag{5}$$

If one considers the coupling of the chiral fields to the gravitational field, then the Einstein equations

$$R_{\mu\nu}=\kappa\left(T_{\mu\nu}-\frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}T\right). \tag{6}$$

should be added. In our case we may set $g_{\mu\nu}=\varrho_{\mu\nu}$ in equation (6).

In the literature devoted to conic space (see, for example, [14]) it has been stressed that cosmic strings have very unusual properties. The fact, that self-gravitating non-linear sigma model also may have very special solutions [19], due to the structure of energy momentum tensor (5), gives hope to find possible configurations of the target space of non-linear sigma model which lead to the conic space (1). For the sake of simplicity and with the aim to keep effects coming from the deficit angle let us for a moment reduce our consideration to the case when the chiral fields χ and Θ are functions of the coordinates ρ and ϕ only. With this restriction the non-vanishing components of the energy-momentum tensor are

$$T_{00}=\tfrac{1}{2}\left(\chi_\rho^2+\sin^2\chi\Theta_\rho^2+\frac{1}{\alpha^2\rho^2}\{\chi_\phi^2+\sin^2\chi\Theta_\phi^2\}\right)+W; \tag{7}$$

$$T_{zz}=-\tfrac{1}{2}\left(\chi_\rho^2+\sin^2\chi\Theta_\rho^2+\frac{1}{\alpha^2\rho^2}\{\chi_\phi^2+\sin^2\chi\Theta_\phi^2\}\right)-W; \tag{8}$$

$$T_{\rho\rho}=\tfrac{1}{2}\left(\chi_\rho^2+\sin^2\chi\Theta_\rho^2-\frac{1}{\alpha^2\rho^2}\{\chi_\phi^2+\sin^2\chi\Theta_\phi^2\}\right)-W; \tag{9}$$

$$T_{\phi\phi}=\tfrac{1}{2}\left(\chi_\phi^2+\sin^2\chi\Theta_\phi^2-\alpha^2\rho^2\{\chi_\rho^2+\sin^2\chi\Theta_\rho^2\}\right)-\alpha^2\rho^2W; \tag{10}$$

$$T_{\rho\phi}=\chi_\rho\chi_\phi+\sin^2\chi\Theta_\rho\Theta_\phi \tag{11}$$

To solve the Einstein equations (6) in the conic space (1) with the energy momentum tensor (7)-(11) we have to consider the strong restrictions:

$$W=0,\quad \chi=\text{const},\ \Theta=\text{const}. \tag{12}$$

It is easy to see that only when (12) holds all components of the energy momentum tensor for $SO(3)$-symmetric non-linear sigma model will be equal to zero, in accordance with the vanishing of the Einstein tensor $G_{\mu\nu}$ in the conic space (1). Nevertheless, the Einstein equations $G_{\mu\nu}=0$ can be satisfied without any reference to (12), if we change the signature of metric of the target space (2) from Euclidian to pseudo-Euclidian and put the following restrictions on the chiral fields

$$W = 0, \quad \chi_\rho^2 = \sin^2\chi\Theta_\rho^2, \quad \chi_\phi^2 = \sin^2\chi\Theta_\phi^2 \tag{13}$$

In this way the conic space (1) can be sourced by non-linear sigma model (3) with the constraints imposed by (13) to the target space. However, if we want to avoid these constraints we have to consider the pure kinetic non-linear sigma model ($W = 0$) in the background of the conic space, i.e., we have to work with the chiral field equations (4) without references to the Einstein equations (6).

It should be mentioned here that finding solutions of non-linear sigma model in the background of some spaces of GR is rather a complicated task. In many cases the solutions can be found only in asymptotic form or by numerical methods (for recent investigations see, for example, [20, 21]).

3 The dynamic equations of non-linear sigma model on the conic space background

Taking into account the conic space and the target space metrics (1) and (2), respectively, one can write out the dynamic equations (4) in terms of cylindrical coordinates (1). But it is possible considerably simplify the background field equations (4), if we choose new variables corresponding to the circle cylindric coordinates (cc-coordinates)

$$u = \ln\rho; \quad -\infty < u < \infty; \quad v = \alpha\phi; \quad 0 \le v < 2\pi\alpha; \quad z = z; \quad -\infty < z < \infty.$$

In these coordinates the metric of the conic space (1) takes the form

$$ds^2 = dt^2 - dz^2 - e^{2u}\left(du^2 + dv^2\right) = dt^2 - dz^2 - ds_{cs}^2. \tag{14}$$

Here we define the two-dimensional conic sector of the conic space as $ds_{cs}^2 = e^{2u}\left(du^2 + dv^2\right)$.

The dynamic equations (4) with $W = 0$ can be written as

$$-\chi_{tt} + \chi_{zz} + \chi_{uu} + \chi_{vv} - \sin\chi\cos\chi\,\left(-\Theta_t^2 + \Theta_z^2 + \Theta_u^2 + \Theta_v^2\right) = 0; \tag{15}$$

$$-\Theta_{tt} + \Theta_{zz} + \Theta_{uu} + \Theta_{vv} + 2\cot\chi\left(-\chi_t\Theta_t + \chi_z\Theta_z + \chi_u\Theta_u + \chi_v\Theta_v\right) = 0. \tag{16}$$

Let us note that the equations (15-16) have the same form as the equations of non-linear sigma model in the Minkowski space-time [22] because of conformal invariant symmetry of the conic sector. Thus the solutions have the same form as in Minkwoski spacetime case but their properties will be rather different. In the next sections we will consider these solutions, obtained in [13] and [22] for the case of $SO(3)$-symmetric non-linear sigma model in Minkowski spacetime background.

3.1 Symmetries and general properties of the solutions

First of all let us consider the symmetries of the chiral fields equations (15)-(16). These equations are invariant with respect to the substitutions:

$$\chi \leftrightarrow -\chi, \quad \chi \leftrightarrow \chi + \pi k, \quad k \in Z; \quad \Theta \leftrightarrow -\Theta, \quad \Theta \leftrightarrow \Theta + \text{const}, \quad \zeta \leftrightarrow \eta. \tag{17}$$

where the new variables ζ and η are defined as $\zeta = \frac{1}{2}(z+t), \quad \eta = \frac{1}{2}(z-t)$. It is clear that the field equations (15)-(16) are also invariant under the change $u \leftrightarrow v \leftrightarrow z \leftrightarrow u$. It is important to bear in mind that these substitutions can be always done. Let us now turn our attention to the solutions which are related to the conic sector(u,v): $ds_{cs}^2 = \mathrm{e}^{2u}\left(du^2 + dv^2\right)$.

Let us start by mentioning some simple solutions and properties which may be of interest as far as quantum properties are concerned:

i) Simple solutions corresponding to free fields, sometimes considered as classical vacuum solutions in the two-dimensional case [9]. These have the same meaning as in the four-dimensional case and are given by: $\chi = \chi_0 = \text{const}, \quad \Theta = \Theta_0 = \text{const}$.

ii) If the chiral fields χ and Θ depend on the two coordinates u and v or z and t, the dynamic equations correspond to those in the two-dimensional Euclidian [1] or pseudo-Euclidian [5] non-linear sigma model , respectively. The solutions have the same form as in already mentioned works [1, 5] for the case of four-dimensional non-linear sigma model .

Let us include an additional dependence on ζ for the chiral fields, namely χ and Θ being functions of u, v and ζ. Then, it is clear from the equations (15)-(16), that any solution of two-dimensional non-linear sigma model of the conic sector (u, v) can be extended to the case with the dependence on ζ. To this end we can replace the constants of integration in two-dimensional non-linear sigma model by arbitrary functions of ζ.

3.2 Ivanov's geometrical ansatz

A procedure to obtain the exact solutions of the dynamic equations is based on Ivanov's geometrical ansatz [11]. This method can be described as follows. First of all, we have to postulate Ivanov's geometrical ansatz. Let us suppose that the space-time admits the group of isometric and homothetic symmetry G_r with r -linear independent vectors of isometric or homothetic motion $\xi_\alpha^i(x)$ and the structure's constants $C_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha$. Let us remind that an infinitesimal transformation $\delta x^i = \xi^i(x)$ corresponds to an isometric motion if $\xi^i(x)$ is the solution of the Killing equations

$$\xi_{\mu;\nu} + \xi_{\nu;\mu} = 0. \tag{18}$$

where (;) denotes covariant derivative.

The vector field $\xi^\mu(x)$ defines a homothetic motion if

$$\xi_{\mu;\nu} + \xi_{\nu;\mu} = \lambda g_{\mu\nu}, \quad \lambda = \text{const}. \tag{19}$$

We assume that the target space admits a group of isometric symmetry G_s with s -linear independent Killing's vectors $\zeta_a^A(\varphi)$ and the structure's constants C_{bc}^a. Ivanov's geometrical ansatz consists in postulating the following relation between the symmetries of the basic and target spaces

$$\xi^\mu_\alpha \partial_\mu \varphi^A = K^a_\alpha \zeta^A_a, \;\; K^a_\alpha = \text{const}, \tag{20}$$

where

$$\alpha = 1, \dots, r; \quad a = 1, \dots, s.$$

In the case under consideration, that is, when Einstein equations are not being taken into account, the equation (20) can be assumed for some subgroups of the group G_r. It should be noted that the constants K^a_α are not arbitrary. The integrability conditions of (20) implies that K^a_α must satisfy:

$$K^a_\gamma C^\gamma_{\alpha\beta} = K^b_\alpha K^c_\beta C^a_{bc}.$$

By integrating the equations (18) and (19) in Minkowski spacetime

$$dS^2 = \eta_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu = -(dx^0)^2 + (dx^1)^2 + (dx^2)^2 + (dx^3)^2 \tag{21}$$

we obtain the linear independent Killing vectors and vectors of homothetic motion (conformal Killing vectors) in Minkowski spacetime (21):

$$\xi^\mu_1 = \delta^\mu_1, \;\; \xi^\mu_2 = \delta^\mu_2, \;\; \xi^\mu_3 = x^2 \delta^\mu_1 - x^1 \delta^\mu_2, \;\; \xi^\mu_4 = \frac{\lambda}{2}(x^1 \delta^\mu_1 + x^2 \delta^\mu_2) \tag{22}$$

In the case of the conic space (1) the Killing vectors and conformal Killing vectors for conic sector are

$$\xi^\mu_1 = \delta^\mu_v, \;\; \xi^\mu_2 = e^{-u}\left(\sin v \delta^\mu_v + \cos v \delta^\mu_u\right), \;\; \xi^\mu_3 = e^{-u}\left(\sin v \delta^\mu_v - \cos v \delta^\mu_u\right), \;\; \xi^\mu_4 = \frac{\lambda}{2}\delta^\mu_u. \tag{23}$$

It is clear that the vector ξ^μ_1 corresponds to a rotation and the vector ξ^μ_2 and ξ^μ_3 corresponds to translations along the x-axis and y-axis, respectively. The vector ξ^μ_4 , on the other hand, is the vector of homothetic motion.

Now, Killing vectors of the target space S^2 (2) can be represented in the form

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta^A_1 &= -\sin\Theta \delta^A_1 - \cos\Theta \cot\chi \delta^A_2; \\ \zeta^A_2 &= \cos\Theta \delta^A_1 - \sin\Theta \cot\chi \delta^A_2 \\ \zeta^A_3 &= \delta^A_2. \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

Thus, the geometric ansatz (20) with the help of (24) will take the form:

$$\begin{aligned} \xi^i_\alpha \chi_i &= -K^1_\alpha \sin\Theta + K^2_\alpha \cos\Theta; \\ \xi^i_\alpha \Theta_i &= -K^1_\alpha \cos\Theta \cot\chi - K^2_\alpha \sin\Theta \cot\chi + K^3_\alpha. \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

Our strategy to obtain solutions is the following: for each Killing vector we will solve the ansatz (25) and then will insert the obtained solutions into the fields equations (15)-(16). Integrating the equations will lead to the exact solutions.

4 Two-dimensional Solutions

Let us start from the rotational symmetry of the conic sector, which is described by the Killing vector ξ_1^i in (23). The equations (25) will take the form

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_v &= -a\sin\Theta + b\cos\Theta, \\ \Theta_v &= -\coth\chi\,(a\cos\Theta + b\sin\Theta) + m. \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

Here we used the notation $K_1^1 = a,\ K_1^2 = b,\ K_1^3 = m$. In the case of other symmetries the derivatives in left hand side of (26) should be replaced by derivatives with respect to the corresponding variables: $x = e^u\cos v,\ \ y = e^u\sin v$ or u.

To integrate the chiral field equations (15)-(16) it is convenient to consider the special restrictions on the values of the constants in the equations (26). After investigating the rotational symmetry in this section we will discuss the remaining symmetries: translations and homothety with the same values of the constants in (26).

4.1 Some particular solutions

In this subsection we will consider solutions corresponding to some particular situations.

A1: $a = b = m = 0,\ \ \xi_1^\mu = \delta_v^\mu.$

By integrating (26) one can find

$$\chi = \chi(u),\ \ \Theta = \Theta(u).$$

Then, after integrating the equation (16) we obtain the constraint

$$\sin^2\chi\Theta_u = c_1, \tag{27}$$

The remaining equation (15) in terms of cc-coordinates becomes

$$\chi_{uu} - c_1^2\frac{\cos\chi}{\sin^3\chi} = 0. \tag{28}$$

Thus, the solution of the chiral fields dynamic equations reads

$$\chi = \pm\arccos\left(\sqrt{\frac{c_2^2 - c_1^2}{c_2^2}}\sin(|c_2|u)\right) + 2\pi k, \quad k \in Z, \tag{29}$$

$$\Theta = \arctan\left(\frac{c_1}{c_2}\tan(|c_2|u)\right). \tag{30}$$

This solution, evidently, does not contain the angle deficit δ.

A2: $a = b = m = 0,\ \ \xi_2^\mu = e^{-u}(\sin v\delta_v^\mu + \cos v\delta_u^\mu).$

The solution of the ansatz (26) will be given by

$$\chi = \chi(y),\ \ \Theta = \Theta(y)$$

Thus the solutions of the chiral field equations are given by formula (29)-(30) with the substitution $u \to y = e^u\sin v$.

A3: $a = b = m = 0,\ \xi_3^\mu = e^{-u}(\sin v\delta_v^\mu - \cos v\delta_u^\mu)$.

The situation here is the same as in the subsection **A2** with the substitution $x \leftrightarrow y$.

A4: $a = b = m = 0,\ \xi_4^\mu = \frac{\lambda}{2}\delta_u^\mu$.

The case of the homothetic motion is similar to the case of the rotation, that is, to the results of the subsection **A1**. The solution of the chiral fields dynamic equations is described by the formulas (29)-(30) with the substitution $v \leftrightarrow u$. Note that this solution contains the deficit angle δ because $v = \alpha\phi$ and $\alpha^2 = (1 - \delta/2)^2$.

B1: $a = b = 0;\ m \neq 0,\ \xi_1^\mu = \delta_v^\mu$.

By integrating (26) with $m \neq 0$ we obtain

$$\chi = \chi(u), \quad \Theta = mv + f(u). \tag{31}$$

From the substitution $\eta = \cos\chi$ the chiral fields equation (15),(16) can be reduced to the first-order differential equations

$$\begin{aligned} f_u &= \frac{c_0}{1-\eta^2}, \\ (\eta_u)^2 &= m^2\eta^4 - \left(c_1 + c_0^2 + 2m^2\right)\eta^2 + c_1 + m^2, \end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

The last equation admits a general solution in terms of elliptic integrals of the first kind $\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{k}, \varphi)$. The inverse dependence for u is given by the formula

$$\begin{aligned} u = \frac{A}{\sqrt{2}}\sqrt{\left(\frac{2\eta^2 c}{A} + 1\right)}\sqrt{\left(\frac{2\eta^2 c + B}{B - A}\right)}\sqrt{\left(\frac{2\eta^2 c}{-A}\right)} \\ \mathbf{F}\Big[\sqrt{\left(\frac{2\eta^2 c}{A} + 1\right)}, \sqrt{\left(\frac{A}{A-B}\right)}\Big]\left(c\sqrt{c\eta^6 + b\eta^4 + a\eta^2}\right)^{-1} \end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

where

$$A = b_1 + \sqrt{b_1^2 - 4ac}, \quad B = b_1 - \sqrt{b_1^2 - 4a_1 c}, \quad a_1 = m^2 + c_1, \quad b_1 = -(2m^2 + c_0^2 + c_1), \quad c = m^2 \tag{34}$$

The deficit angle appears in the solution for Θ given by (31).

B2: $a = b = 0;\ m \neq 0\ \xi_2^\mu = e^{-u}(\sin v\delta_v^\mu + \cos v\delta_u^\mu)$.

Taking into account the replacement ∂_v by ∂_x one can write the solution of the ansatz (26) in the following way

$$\chi = \chi(y), \ \Theta = mx + f(y).$$

Thus the solution of the chiral fields equations is given by formulas (31)-(34) with the substitutions: $u \to y = e^u \sin v,\ v \to x$. This solution does not contain the deficit angle.

B3: $a = b = 0,\ m \neq 0,\ \xi_3^\mu = e^{-u}(\sin v\delta_v^\mu - \cos v\delta_u^\mu)$.

The situation here is the same as in the subsection **B2** if we take into account the exchange $x \leftrightarrow y$.

B4: $a = b = 0,\ m \neq 0,\ \xi_4^\mu = \frac{\lambda}{2}\delta_u^\mu$.

By integrating (26) with $m \neq 0$ and taking into account the replacement $\partial_v \rightarrow \partial_u$ we obtain

$$\chi = \chi(v), \quad \Theta = \frac{2}{\lambda}mu + f(v). \tag{35}$$

In this case the solution of the chiral fields dynamic equations is given by the formulas(31)-(34) with the substitutions: $m \rightarrow \frac{2}{\lambda}m,\ v \leftrightarrow u$. Note that this solution contains the deficit angle δ.

C1: $a^2 + b^2 \neq 0;\ m = 0;\ \xi_1^\mu = \delta_v^\mu$.

By integrating (26) we obtain the result

$$\chi = \arccos\left[\sin\mu(u)\sin\left(pv + B(u)\right)\right], \tag{36}$$

$$\Theta = \theta_0 + \arcsin\left(\frac{\sin\mu\cos\{(pv+B)\}}{\sqrt{1 - (\sin^2\mu)\sin^2(pv+B)}}\right),$$

where $p^2 = a^2 + b^2, \coth\theta_0 = \frac{a}{b}$.

The chiral fields equations reduced to the equations of the functions $\mu(u)$ and $B(u)$ which will have the same form as (32) when we make the substitutions: $\eta \rightarrow \cos\mu, f \rightarrow B, m^2 \rightarrow p^2$. The solution (33) will also be valid with the substitutions above.

C2: $a^2 + b^2 \neq 0;\ m = 0;\ \xi_2^\mu = e^{-u}(\sin v\delta_v^\mu + \cos v\delta_u^\mu)$.

The solution of the ansatz (26) is given by the formula (36) with the substitutions: $u \rightarrow y,\ v \rightarrow x$. Thus the solution of the chiral fields equations is given by formulas (36), (32), (33),(34) with the substitutions: $u \rightarrow y,\ v \rightarrow x$.

C3: $a^2 + b^2 \neq 0;\ m = 0;\ \xi_3^\mu = e^{-u}(\sin v\delta_v^\mu - \cos v\delta_u^\mu)$.

The situation here is the same as in the subsection **C2** with the substitution $x \leftrightarrow y$.

C4: $a^2 + b^2 \neq 0;\ m = 0;\ \xi_4^\mu = \frac{\lambda}{2}\delta_u^\mu$.

This case corresponds to the case presented in the paragraph **C1** with the multiplication of the constants a, b, m by $\frac{2}{\lambda}$ and the replacement $u \leftrightarrow v$ in the solution. The deficit angle is also contained in this solution.

D1: $a^2 + b^2 \neq 0;\ m \neq 0,\ \xi_1^\mu = \delta_v^\mu$.

This case corresponds to the most general solution of Ivanov geometric ansatz (25) for $SO(3)$-invariant non-linear sigma model . The solution of (25) is

$$k\left(u + B(v)\right) = \arctan\left(\frac{s}{\pm\sqrt{1 \mp A^2k^2(1+s^2)}}\right) - \arctan s, \tag{37}$$

where $k^2 = a^2 + b^2 + m^2$, $s = \frac{k}{m}\coth\omega$, $\omega = \Theta + \theta_0$, $\tan\theta_0 = \frac{b}{a}$, $A^2 = A^2(v)$.

For the minus sign under the square-root the following replacements will be used

$$A^2k^2 = \sin^2\alpha(v), \quad \gamma^2 = m^2 + p^2\cos^2\alpha, \quad m^2 \leq \gamma^2 \leq k^2. \tag{38}$$

For the plus sign under square-root, the replacements are

$$A^2k^2 = \sinh^2\alpha(v), \quad \gamma^2 = m^2 + p^2\cosh^2\alpha, \quad \gamma^2 \geq k^2. \tag{39}$$

Then the field χ can be defined via ω from the relation:

$$\chi = \arctan\left(\frac{(m - \omega_v')}{p\sin\omega}\right). \tag{40}$$

Using the replacements (37)-(40), the fields equations can be reduced to that of the first order

$$B_v' = \frac{C_1}{1-\psi^2}, \tag{41}$$

$$(\psi_v)^2 = k^2\psi^4 - \left(k^2 + k^2C_1^2 + m^2C_2^2\right)\psi^2 + m^2C_2^2,$$

where $\psi^2 = \frac{m^2}{\gamma^2}$.

It is clear that with the help of the replacements (37)-(40) we have reduced the problem to the system of equations (32) which has been solved in the subsection **B1**. Actually, the solution of the second equation in (41) can be given by (33) with the substitutions

$$a_1 = m^2C_2^2, \quad b_1 = -\left(k^2 + k^2C_1^2 + m^2C_2^2\right), \quad c = k^2.$$

D2: $a^2 + b^2 \neq 0;\ m \neq 0;\ \xi_2^\mu = e^{-u}(\sin v\delta_v^\mu + \cos v\delta_u^\mu)$.

In this case the solution of the chiral field equations (15)-(16) is given by (37)-(41) with the substitutions: $u \to y,\ v \to x$.

D3: $a^2 + b^2 \neq 0;\ m \neq 0;\ \xi_3^\mu = e^{-u}(\sin v\delta_v^\mu - \cos v\delta_u^\mu)$.

The situation here is the same as in the subsection **D2** with the substitution $x \leftrightarrow y$.

D4: $a^2 + b^2 \neq 0;\ m \neq 0;\ \xi_4^\mu = \frac{\lambda}{2}\delta_u^\mu$.

This corresponds to the case presented in the subsection **D1** with the replacement $u \leftrightarrow v$. The solution is given by formulas of the subsection **D1** with the replacement $u \leftrightarrow v$. The deficit angle also appears in this solution.

4.2 Special solutions: the instanton and meron solutions

Let us recall some general properties of the instanton and meron solutions. Theses solutions are defined on two dimensional Euclidian space-time $dS^2_M = (dx^1)^2 + (dx^2)^2$.

The standard instanton solution is given as follows [13]

$$\chi = 2\arctan\sqrt{(x^1)^2 + (x^2)^2}; \quad \Theta = \arctan\frac{x^1}{x^2}. \tag{42}$$

The well-known properties of the instanton solution are: the finiteness of the action $S_{NSM} = 4\pi$ and a topological charge Q which should is equal to unity ($Q = 1$) [6]. The "topological charge density" can be defined as the Jacobian of the mapping of the two-dimensional spacetime into the target space – a two-dimensional sphere in the case under consideration.

Let us consider the two-dimensional subspace (conic "plane") of the conic space (1)

$$ds^2_c = e^{2u}\{du^2 + dv^2\}.$$

For this case we can immediately obtain the analog of the instanton solution

$$\chi = 2\arctan e^u; \quad \Theta = v. \tag{43}$$

Simple calculations give for the action the value

$$S = 4\pi\alpha = S_{IS} - \delta, \quad \delta = 8\pi G\mu.$$

Here we denote by S_{IS} the standard value of the action of the instanton, which is equal to 4π.

The topological charge corresponding to the conic "plane" will be given by

$$Q = \alpha = \frac{2}{4\pi}(2\pi - \delta) = 1 - 4G\mu = Q_{IS} - 4G\mu.$$

To obtain multi-instanton solutions we can set $v = \alpha(\phi + 2\pi k), k \in Z$. Clearly, in this case the deficit angle accumulates.

The meron solution is a solution which has a topological charge $\frac{1}{2}$ after regularization [9]. The action of the meron solution is logarithmically divergent. In our case the meron solution is represented as being given by

$$\chi = \frac{\pi}{2}, \quad \Theta = \arctan\left(\frac{u}{v}\right). \tag{44}$$

It is possible to check that the action of the meron solution in the conic "plane" (44) will be logarithmically divergent, but the topological charge, through a regularization procedure in the external three dimensional space, will be equal to $\frac{1}{2}\alpha$. Thus the topological charge will also have an accumulative effect.

Multi-instanton and multi-meron solutions can be derived from of the usual procedure for complex variable in external three dimensional space. It is clear that these solutions will accumulate a deficit angle effect in the invariant characteristics when the integration over the angle coordinate v is carried out.

5 Four-dimensional solutions

The geometric ansatz method described above has been applied to obtain exact solutions in the case of SO(3) non-linear sigma model in Minkowski space-time[22]. We will use the results obtained in [22] to the case of non-linear sigma model in the conic space background.

5.1 Solutions for one-parametric subgroups

The solutions of this kind can be represented in the form

$$\chi = \chi(\xi), \quad \xi = a_\mu x^\mu, \quad \Theta = \Theta(c_* \xi), \quad a_\mu a^\mu = 0, \quad c_* = \mathrm{const}, \tag{45}$$

where here x^i denote: Cartesian coordinates x, y, polar coordinates ρ, ϕ or the coordinates $u = \ln\rho, \phi$. These solutions can be obtained, of course, only when we have pseudo-Euclidian signature of space-time; thus, the existence of an isotropic vector $\vec{a}$ is necessary.

5.2 The two-parametric subgroups solutions

The system of equations (15)-(16) coincides with the system correspondign to SO(3) non-linear sigma model , considered in the background of Minkowski space-time. The solution, obtained for the last case, can be applied in our case, which in cc-coordinates reads

$$\chi = \arccos\left(\cos a \cos F(t,z,u,v)\right); \quad \Theta = \arctan\left(\tan F(t,z,u,v)/\sin a\right), \tag{46}$$

where a is a parameter and the function $F(t,z,u,v)$ must be a solution of the four-dimensional d'Alembert equation, that is, the function F must satisfy the equation

$$\triangle F(t,z,u,v) \overset{\mathrm{def}}{=} F_{tt} - F_{zz} - F_{uu} - F_{vv} = 0. \tag{47}$$

As an example of a two-dimensional solution we can take the special solution of Laplace equation (47) in the form

$$F(u,v) = \frac{1}{2}\ln(u^2 + v^2) + \arctan(u/v). \tag{48}$$

It is clear that the deficit angle appears in this solution.

5.3 Three-parametric static solution

According to our presentation of the chiral field equations (4) the three-parametric solution [22] takes the form

$$\chi = \arccos\left(\frac{z}{\sqrt{u^2 + v^2 + z^2}}\right) \tag{49}$$

$$\Theta = \arccos\left(\frac{u}{\sqrt{u^2 + v^2}}\right) \tag{50}$$

Because of the symmetry with respect to the coordinates u and v we can obtain the analog of the solution above in the form

$$\chi = \arccos\left(\frac{z}{\sqrt{v^2+u^2+z^2}}\right) \tag{51}$$

$$\Theta = \arccos\left(\frac{v}{\sqrt{v^2+u^2}}\right) \tag{52}$$

6 Conclusions

In spite of the close analogy between the solutions of a non-linear sigma model dynamic equations in the case of Minkowski space-time and in the conic space, they are, however, essentially different in the case of the instanton and meron solutions. Perhaps these differences should be further investigated given the important role these solutions have played in the developing of the quantum theory of non-linear sigma model .

Acknowledgements

S.Chervon thanks CAPES for financial support during his recent visit to the Federal University of Paraiba. V. B. Bezerra and C. Romero would like to acknowledge CNPq and FAPESQ-Pb/CNPq(PRONEX) for partial financial support.

References

[1] Belavin A.A., Polyakov A.M. *JETF Let.* **22**(10) 503 (1975)

[2] Honerkamp J, Patani A, Schlindwein M, Shafi Q *Lett.Nuovo Cim.* **15** No 4 97 (1976)

[3] Pohlmeyer K *Comm.Math.Phys.* **46** 207 (1976)

[4] Woo D, *J.Math.Phys.* **18** 1264 (1977)

[5] Gross D J *Nucl.Phys.* **B132** 439 (1978)

[6] Perelomov A M *Phys.Reports* **146** No 3 136 (1987).

[7] Wiegmann P B *Phys.Lett.* **B 152** No 3,4 209 (1985)

[8] Perelomov A M *Phys.Reports* **174** No 4 229 (1989)

[9] De'Alfaro V, Fubini S, Furlan G *Nuovo Cim.* **A 50** No 4 523 (1979)

[10] Ghika G, Visinescu M *Z.Physik* **C11** 353 (1982)

[11] Ivanov G.G. *Theor. Math. Fiz* **57** N 1 45 (1983)

[12] Chervon S.V. *Izv. Vuz. Fiz.* **26**, 89 (1983).

[13] Ivanov G.G., Chervon S.V. In: *Gravitation and General Relativity* (Kazan: Kazan State University), **24** (1987) 37.

[14] Vilenkin A. *Phys. Rev.* **D23**, (1981) 852.

[15] Gott J. R. *Astrophys. J.* **288**, 422 (1985).

[16] Linet B. *Phys. Rev.* **D 33**, 1833 (1986).

[17] Ford L. H., Vilenkin A. *J. Phys.* **A 14** , 2353 (1981); Bezerra, V. B. *J. Math. Phys.* **30**, 2895 (1989).

[18] Abraham E, Preprint DAMTP-R-91/19 (Cambridge, DAMPT, 1991)

[19] Chervon S.V., In: *Gravitation and General Relativity* (Kazan: Kazan State University), v.23, p.103, 1986.

[20] Aichelburg P.C. and Lechner C. ArXiv: gr-qc/9710126.

[21] Lechner C., Husa S. and Aichelburg P.C. *Phys. Rev.* **D62**, (2000) 044047. ArXiv: gr-qc/0002031.

[22] Chervon S.V. *Izv. Vuz. Fiz.*, **28**, 22 (1985).